## Aim Low

## Cash Balance Plan Investment Strategies

[^0]
## Goals for Today

- Review features of defined benefit, defined contribution and hybrid retirement plans
- Discuss investment risk/reward balance in cash balance plans
- Examine interest crediting rate options
- Work through examples
- Finish in one hour



## DC vs. DB Plans

- It's all in the name
- Defined Contribution plans
- The contribution is the defined element
- E.g. - Employer contributes 5\% of pay for participants each year
- Amount of savings at retirement is uncertain
- E.g. - 401(k) plans, profit sharing plans, money purchase plans, SEPs, SIMPLEs


## DC vs. DB Plans

- Defined Benefit plans
- The benefit at retirement is the defined element
- E.g. - Participant will get a benefit equal in value to $\$ 800$ per month beginning at age 62, payable for life
- Annual contributions needed to fund that benefit are uncertain


## Hybrid Plans

- DB plans that have certain aspects of a DC plan
- More predictable contributions and financial reporting
- Understood and appreciated by employees
- Higher contribution limits of a DB plan
- Often paired with a DC plan
- Can keep existing DC plan, if any
- Adds flexibility
- Spreads investment risk
- Maximizes contribution for business owner


## Hybrid Plans

- Examples include
- Cash balance plans
- Floor offset arrangements
- Pension equity plans (PEPs)
- Adjustable pension plans


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- CB plans look and feel a lot like profit sharing plans
- Each participant has a "hypothetical account balance" that grows with
- Pay Credits
- Interest Credits
- Both Pay Credits and Interest Credits are defined in the plan document


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Account balances are "hypothetical" since plan assets are pooled, and actual invested assets may not mirror hypothetical balances
- From the participant's standpoint there's nothing hypothetical about it
- If they leave the company and are vested they're entitled to their entire balance


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example
- Amy and Bob own ABC Inc. and have one employee, Carol, whom they pay $\$ 50,000$ per year
- ABC Inc. adopts a CB plan effective $1 / 1 / 2023$
- Amy gets Pay Credits equal to $\$ 100,000$ per year, Bob $\$ 50,000$ per year, and Carol equal to $5 \%$ of pay each year
- Pairing with a 401(k) profit sharing plan keeps this arrangement nondiscriminatory
- Interest Credits are 4\% per year


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example (cont.)

|  | Amy | Bob | Carol | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/23 Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ |
| 2023 Int. Credit | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 2023 Pay Credit | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 152,000$ |
| 1/1/24 Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2024 Int. Credit | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 6,080$ |
| 2024 Pay Credit | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 152,000$ |
| $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 5}$ Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 1 0 , 0 8 0}$ |

## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example (cont.)

|  | Total Hyp. Acct. |  | Actual Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/23 Balance | \$0 | 1/1/23 Balance | \$0 |
| 2023 Int. Credit | \$0 | 2023 Earnings | \$0 |
| 2023 Pay Credit | \$152,000 | 2023 Contribution | \$152,000 |
| 1/1/24 Balance | \$152,000 | 1/1/24 Balance | \$152,000 |
| 2024 Int. Credit | \$6,080 | 2024 Earnings | -\$15,200 |
| 2024 Pay Credit | \$152,000 | 2024 Contribution | \$152,000 |
| 1/1/25 Balance | \$310,080 | 1/1/25 Balance | \$288,800 |

## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example (cont.)
- So, in this example hypothetical account balances are about $\$ 21 \mathrm{k}$ underfunded as of $1 / 1 / 2025$
- Not a big deal from the IRS' standpoint - Can "let it ride"
- But what happens if Bob leaves the practice in early 2025?
- He walks away with his full hypothetical balance $(\$ 102,000)$, even thought that's greater than his "share" of the invested assets
- Amy is potentially left holding the bag


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example (cont.)
- Potential solution
- Adjust contributions each year to "true up" account balances
- Total 2024 contribution (deposited in early 2025) would be \$173,280 rather than \$152,000
- Of this amount, $\$ 114,000$ would be for Amy's benefit, $\$ 57,000$ for Bob's, and \$2,280 for Carol's
- This solution gets difficult to manage with larger account balances and/or bigger investment return swings


## Cash Balance Plan Dynamics

- Example (cont.)
- "True up" strategy can also work if investment returns exceed the interest crediting rate
- Owner group may be more inclined to "let it ride" in this situation
- Excess assets can be used to offset any future investment shortfalls
- Gives partners a bit of extra incentive not to leave


## Benefit Limits

- The IRS limits the amount that participants can roll out of DB plans (including cash balance plans) and into IRAs upon plan termination
- Maximum amount depends on participant's age, years of plan participation, and IRS limits in effect that year
- Plan assets grow through a combination of deductible contributions and investment returns
- High investment returns ultimately limit what can be contributed in future years
- Think of these plans as tax savings vehicles rather than investment vehicles


## Interest Crediting Options

- The interest crediting rate in a cash balance plan can be defined in one of three ways:
- As a flat percentage (e.g. $-4 \%$ per year)
- Tied to a published index (e.g. - 10-year Treasury rates plus 100 basis points)
- The actual return on plan assets
- This one was a surprise when the IRS published cash balance plan regulations in 2010
- Can be an attractive option, but comes with caveats - See next slide


## Interest Crediting Options (cont.)

- Setting the interest crediting rate equal to the actual return on plan assets
- In years the return is very low
- Can cause minimum participation issues
- Balances can't fall lower than the cumulative amount of Pay Credits
- In years the return is very high
- Can cause nondiscrimination testing issues
- Can trigger IRS benefit limitations


## Interest Crediting Options (cont.)

- Setting the interest crediting rate equal to the actual return on plan assets
- Potential solution to large investment swings
- Limit interest crediting rate to a corridor
- E.g. - Actual return on plan assets, but no less than 0\% and no greater than $6 \%$ in any given year


## Key Takeaways

- Many of the dynamics we've discussed apply to all types of DB plans
- Just more obvious in the case of cash balance plans
- Consider options carefully before defining the interest crediting rate
- Investment strategy should strive to mirror the interest crediting rate as closely as possible
- May mean that additional equity exposure in other parts of the investment portfolio is advisable
- Think of these as tax savings vehicles rather than as investment vehicles
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